### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M125469

Client: Alaskan Copper Works Date Received: 06/17/10 Project: Metro Self Monitor, F&BI 006201

Lab ID: 06/23/10 006201-01 x10 Date Extracted: 006201-01 x10.073 Date Analyzed: 06/23/10 Data File:

ICPMS1 Matrix: Water Instrument: Units: ug/L (ppb) Operator: ΑP

Upper Lower Limit: Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: 102 125 Germanium 60

Concentration Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

Chromium 360 Nickel 355 368 Copper Zinc 34.2

### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:

Method Blank

Date Received:

Not Applicable

Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:

06/23/10

Matrix: Units:

06/23/10 Water

ug/L (ppb)

Client:

Alaskan Copper Works

Project:

Metro Self Monitor, F&BI 006201

Lab ID: Data File:

I0-319 mb I0-319 mb.061 ICPMS1

Instrument: Operator:

Lower

AP

Internal Standard:

Germanium

% Recovery: 100

Limit: 60

Upper Limit: 125

Concentration

<1

Analyte: Chromium ug/L (ppb)

Nickel Copper Zinc

<1 <1 <5

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 06/25/10 Date Received: 06/17/10

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M125469, F&BI 006201

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 006178-02 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	6.01	96 b	95 b	67-132	1
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	2.81	96	96	73-119	0
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.20	93	91	50-144	2
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	<5	101	100	46-148	1

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

		Percent										
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance								
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria								
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	98	66-135								
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	67-134								
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	66-134								
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	103	57-135								

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- ${
  m jl}$  The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js  $The\ surrogate\ associated\ with\ the\ analyte\ is\ out\ of\ control\ limits. The\ reported\ concentration\ should\ be\ considered\ an\ estimate.$
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- $\,$  nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- $\operatorname{pc}$  The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- $\mbox{pr}$  The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

006201	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	17/10 AIY	
Send Report To GERDUP THOMPSON	SAMPLERS (signature)		Turnaround time
Company ALASKAN COSPER WORKS	PROJECT NAMENO.  METTE SECT MOUNTER	PO# m 125469	Standard (2 Works)  RUSH  Rush charges authorized by:
City, State, ZIP SEATTLE WA 78/34  Dhora #200-57/-073 Part 2 10-252-1868	REMARKS		SAMPLE DISPOSAL  Dispose after 30 days Return samples

						ANALYSES REQUESTED											
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	HFS	Op Cu MEZA					Notes
yv1/25469	01	6/1/10	R:30	HEU													
2	<del></del>							$\vdash$			$\dashv$	+	-				<del> </del>
									+		+						*************
	-							_	-		4				4		
									1		+				-		
Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West					PRINT NAME  Chestera Theyware.					7	COMPANY				D 6/1	ATE	1.5/3pm
Seattle, WA 98119-2029  Ph. (206) 285-8282  Received by:  Relinquished by:				N	Nhan Phan						FEBI			· · · · ·		7/10	
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:	/				ins,				+			- 1				
FORMSCOCCOC.DOC  Samples received at 20°C									°C								

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

June 25, 2010

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on June 17, 2010 from the Metro Self Monitor, PO M125469, F&BI 006201 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Kortland Orr Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0625R.DOC